## A Unified Submodular Framework for Multimodal IC Trojan Detection

Farinaz Koushanfar, Azalia Mirhoseini, Yousra Alkabani Electrical and Computer Engineering Department Rice University

## Outline

- Introduction
- Assumptions
- Related work
- Contributions
- Trojan detection
- Experimental evaluation
- Summary and conclusion

## Introduction

- Horizontal business model
  - IP providers, IC designers, and foundries are separate companies
- Potential threats of this business model
  - Theft of IPs, piracy of ICs, and addition of Trojans
- Trojan component maybe inserted
  - To monitor, control, spy, or steal information from the chip

## Trojan detection challenges

- Trojan insertion mechanisms not known
- Many opportunities
- Exponential growth of the number of gates vs. linear increase in the number of pins
  - Limited controllability and observability
- Foundaries with advanced technologies
   Multiple opportunities to insert Trojans
- Increase in process variation with technology
  Difficult to detect change due to Trojan insertions



## Assumptions

- Unobtrusive timing, static power and dynamic power testing
- Adversarial model
  - Trojan IC has the same set of input/output pins as the original design
  - ICs have already passed the standard parametric and functional tests
  - The nominal profile of each gate in each modality is available

### Related work

- Power signature for Trojan detection
  - Building IC fingerprints from power traces, statistical testing to detect altered ICs [Aragwal et al. S&P 2007]
  - Power measurements from multiple supply ports, and emperical sensitivity analysis [Rad et al. HOST and ICCAD 2008, IEEE trans. on VLSI 2009]
  - Partitioning the circuit into regions and testing suspected regions [Banga and Hsiao HOST 2008]
- Timing signature for Trojan detection
  - E.g., principal component analysis [Jin and Makris HOST 2008]

## Related work(need abstract of the works)

- Gate-Level Characterization
  - Gate-Level Characterization: Foundations and Hardware Security Applications[Wei et al. DAC 2010]
  - SVD-Based Ghost Circuitry Detection[Nelson et al. IH2009]
  - Characterizing leakage current of gates with a consistency based algorithm [Alkabani et al. ICCAD 2009]

## Contributions

- A new unified formal framework for IC Trojan detection by noninvasive measurements
  - Unimodal anomaly detection built upon the gate level profiling (Timing, static and dynamic power modalities investigated)
  - Different multimodal Trojan detection methods for combining unimodal detection results
- A submodular objective function
  - An iterative greedy detection algorithm that achieves a near optimal solution in polynomial time
- A method to calibrate the systematic variations
  - Robust to measurement noise and process variation

## Submodular property: intuition

• Inserting a Trojan would have a higher impact on a small circuit than inserting the same Trojan to a larger circuit that contains the small one



## Unimodal Trojan detection method

- Trojan detection method is built upon the gate profile estimation
  - Generate input vectors that enable gate profile measurements
  - Apply the measurement vectors and map the measured values to gate scaling factors (deviation from the nominal gate profile}
  - The anomalies are detected through an iterative hierarchical approach

### Unimodal detection algorithm

•Estimate gate scaling factors
•Calibrate systematic variations
•Select a gate to reweigh
•Adjust measurements
•Re-estimate scaling factors
•Evaluate improvement in objective function(OF)

in OF above

threshold?

No

Select the gate o with maximum effect on OF (Greedy selection)
Identify gate o as anomalous
Remove gate o

Done

ves

-Increase number of benign gates (  $\mathrm{N}_\mathrm{b}\mathrm{)}$ 

## Scaling factor estimation & calibration

Estimate scaling factorsCalibrate scaling factors

- •A: matrix of nominal values
- •Φ: scaling factors
- •E: measurment error
- B: measured value for each input

•Solve  $A\Phi + E = B$  minimizing mean square error of E to compute  $\Phi$ 

 Filter out systematic variations using 2D high pass filter

## Reweighing scaling factors

Select a gate to reweigh
Adjust measurements
Re-estimate scaling factors

Reweigh using a Gaussian kernel function
Recompute B after reweighing the scaling factors
Solve AΦ+E=B minimizing mean square error of E to get Φ

## **Objective function**

•Evaluate improvement in OF•Remove the anomalous gate o

Objective function: R(Γ) = L(D) – L(D\Γ)
L is maximum likelihood of error or min L<sub>2</sub>(E)
D is the set of all gates
Γ is the set of anomalous gates
The objective function is submodular
D\Γ or removing anomalous gates is to set their scaling factors equal to the nominal unity value

## Objective function R is submodular

- $R(\Gamma) = L(D) L(D \setminus \Gamma)$  is a penalty reduction function
- Penalty will not be reduced if we do not reweigh a new anomalous gate, i.e., R(∅) = 0
- R is a non decreasing set function:
  - Reweighing a new anomaly could just decrease the associated penalty, i.e., R(Γ1) ≤ R(Γ2), for Γ1 ⊆ Γ2 ⊆ D
- R satisfies the diminishing return property

## Diminishing returns characterization





 Reweighing a gate in a smaller set of gates D<sub>s</sub>, improves the reward at least as much as reweighing it in a larger set of gates D<sub>l</sub>, with D<sub>s</sub> ⊆ D<sub>l</sub>



## Greedy approach: near optimal solution of submodular function

**Theorem:** [Nemhauser et al '78] For a submodular function R greedy algorithm gives constant factor approximation

 $R(\Gamma_{\text{greedy}}) >= (1-1/e) R(\Gamma_{\text{opt}})$ 

#### ~63%

- Greedy algorithm gives near-optimal solution!
- For information gain: guarantees best possible unless P = NP! [Krause & Guestrin '05]

## More on calibration

- Inter-chip variations
  - Affect the mean of the variations
  - Adjusted by shifting the mean of the extracted profile values to have a mean of unity

### Intra-chip variations

- In form of a spatial distribution, e.g., 2D Gaussian in our model
- Systematic intra-chip variation is slower than the rate of change because of the Trojan insertion
- Resolved by 2D Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) high pass filter

## The unified multiomodal Trojan detection framework



# Multimodal Trojan detection techniques

- Unanimous voting
  - Decreases P<sub>D</sub> but improves P<sub>FA</sub>
- Conservative voting
  - Increases P<sub>FA</sub> but also increases P<sub>D</sub>, gives maximum achievable P<sub>D</sub>
- Majority voting
  - $\hfill \hfill \hfill$
- Weighed voting
  - $\hfill \hfill \hfill$

## Experimental evaluation

- Setup
  - MCNC'91 benchmarks
  - ABC synthesis tool
  - HSPICE for nominal leakage computation
  - Placement by the Dragon tool
  - Matlab for the simulations and solving the quadratic programs (QPs)

## Measurement setup(Please take a look at it) • Timing:

- Testing pattern generation method described in [Yang et al.]
- Leakage current
  - The IDDQ tests via off-chip pins by the precision measurement unit (PMU) [Sabade et al.]
  - TetraMAX ATPG is used for IDDQ test generation
- Dynamic current
  - IDDT tests by averaging methods that do not require high precision or high frequency measurement devices needed for capturing the transient signals [Jha et al.]

## Gate-level characterization vs. measurment noise(Static power)

Ct	Size	i/p	o/p	3%	5%	10%
<b>C8</b>	165	28	18	5.6	7.0	11.6
C432	206	36	7	1.7	3.5	7.2
C1355	512	41	32	8.5	10.0	12.1
C499	532	41	32	2.9	4.5	9.0
C3450	1131	50	22	4.0	5.9	9.8

## Gate-level characterization vs. measurment noise(Dynamic power)

Ct	Size	i/p	o/p	3%	5%	10%
<b>C8</b>	165	28	18	4.2	6.4	11.2
C432	206	36	7	1.5	3.1	6.9
C1355	512	41	32	7.8	9.1	11.5
C499	532	41	32	2.2	4.2	8.8
C3450	1131	50	22	3.5	6	9.5

## Gate-level characterization vs. measurment noise(Timing)

Ct	Size	i/p	o/p	3%	5%	10%
<b>C8</b>	165	28	18	5.3	7	11.5
C432	206	36	7	3.8	5.4	10.1
C1355	512	41	32	4	8	12.3
C499	532	41	32	5	6.5	12
C3450	1131	50	22	2.9	4.1	9.2

## Boxplots of N<sub>b</sub> for Trojan free, 1 Trojan, and 3 Trojan gates



## Leakage scaling factors for two anomalous gates in C432



## The stepwise diminishing return improvement for leakage modality



# The number of gates giving false alarm in a non Trojan circuit

Ct	Unanimous	Conservative	Majority	Weighted Voting
<b>C8</b>	0/165	3/165	1/165	2/165
C432	1/206	2/206	1/206	1/206
C1355	0/512	4/512	2/512	2/512
C499	0/532	3/532	1/532	1/532
C3450	0/1131	3/1131	3/1131	3/1131

## Summary and conclusion

- Proposing a unified noninvasive Trojan detection framework
- Formulating the optimization problem for simultaneous gate level profiles and Trojan detection for each modality
- Exploiting submodularity to achieve a near optimal solution for unimodul detection
- Devising and comparing four methods for combining the results of multiple unimodal detections.